

IFT-20/92
October 1992

Quark Spin and the Θ -term for the QCD String ¹

Jacek Pawełczyk

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Warsaw,
Hoża 69, PL-00-681 Warsaw, Poland.*

Abstract

We describe a way in which spin of quarks can enter a consistent QCD string theory. We show that the spin factor of the 4d massless, spin 1/2 fermions is related to the self-intersection number of a 2d surfaces immersed in the 4d space. We argue that the latter quantity should appear in a consistent description of the QCD string. We also calculate the chiral anomaly and show that the self-intersection number corresponds to the topological charge $F\tilde{F}$ of QCD.

¹Work supported, in part, by Polish Government Research Grant KBN 2 0165 91 01.

Since the formulation of the quantum mechanics in terms of path integrals² there exists a challenging problem of description of the particle spin within the formalism. The recent papers on the subject [2,3] elaborate on the so-called spin factors which were introduced by Polyakov [4]. The subject is interesting by its own but also it can shed more light on the possibility of inclusion of similar factors in the string theory. Here we want to focus on the relation between the spin factor of the 4d massless, spin 1/2 fermion and the self-intersection number of a 2d surface (with boundary) immersed in the 4d (euclidean) space-time. The self-intersection number, denoted by I , appeared in the context of the rigid string. In [5] it was proposed to consider 2d dynamics of the rigid string with so-called θ -term, $2\pi i\theta$. The hope was that for $\theta = \pi$ this term will help to preserve the rigidity as relevant degree of freedom of the low energy vibrations of the string. There were also suggestions that the θ -term corresponds to QCD θ -term [6,7].

In this paper we shall discuss the way in which spin of quarks can enter a consistent QCD string theory. We show that after proper identification the spin factor of the massless 4d fermion equals to $e^{2\pi i I}$, where I is self-intersection number of a 2d surface M immersed in 4d space. The fermion lives on the boundary ∂M . This suggests that inclusion of the θ -term at $\theta = \pi$ is equivalent to taking into account 4d spin quantum numbers. In other words one can say that the boundary of the string become 4d spin 1/2 fermion. This is very desirable property because we believe that quarks live on the boundary of the QCD string. We also introduce new anticommuting variables on the boundary ∂M and derive the result for the chiral anomaly. This result was obtained in [6] in a different, not purely stringy way.

We shall consider the first quantized massless spinning particle with spin 1/2 moving in d-dimensional space. The relevant action has the following form [8,4]:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dt \left(\frac{1}{e} (\partial_t \vec{X})^2 - \frac{1}{e^2} \vec{\psi} \partial_t \vec{\psi} + \frac{1}{e^2} \chi \vec{\psi} \partial_t \vec{X} \right) \quad (1)$$

where e denotes 1d graviton field, χ anticommuting gravitino, $\vec{X}$ position of the particle and $\vec{\psi}$ is the supersymmetric partner of $\vec{X}$. The crucial role is played by the supersymmetry transformations of the fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \vec{X} &= \alpha \vec{\psi} / e \\ \delta \vec{\psi} &= \alpha (\partial_t \vec{X} - \frac{1}{2e} \chi \vec{\psi}) \\ \delta \chi &= -2\partial_t \alpha \\ \delta e &= -\alpha \chi. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

²There exists extensive literature to the subject contained e.g. in [1],[2],[3]

With help of the transformations (2) we shall show that one of the components of the field $\vec{\psi}$ can be gauged away. Moreover, the gravitino will work as a Lagrange multiplier reducing the number of anticommuting fields $\vec{\psi}$ by one. Integration over the remaining anticommuting degrees of freedom leads to the spin factors. Altogether we shall see that the description of the d-dimensional massless spinor leads to the same spin factor as for the massive (d-1)-dimensional spinor [2,3,4].

The Minkowski space is the most natural frame for the description of massless particles with spin in the standard quantum field theory approach. However, here we want to concentrate on closed paths thus we choose to work in the euclidean space. At each point of the path there is a natural decomposition of the tangent space to the space-time into 1d space spanned by $\partial_t \vec{X}$ and $d - 1$ dimensional space spanned by vectors $\vec{r}(t), \vec{n}^i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, d - 2$. The basis of the linearly independent vectors $\{\partial_t \vec{X}, \vec{r}, \vec{n}^i\}$ respects

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \vec{X} \vec{r} &\neq 0 \\ \partial_t \vec{X} \vec{n}^i &= \vec{r} \vec{n}^i = 0 \\ \vec{n}^i \vec{n}^j &= \delta^{ij}\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

The only restriction on the $\partial_t \vec{X}, \vec{r}$ sector of the basis is the requirement that these vectors should be linearly independent and that $\partial_t \vec{X} \vec{r} \neq 0$ everywhere. One can take for convenience e.g. $\partial_t \vec{X} \vec{r} = 1$.

The anticommuting field $\vec{\psi}$ can be decomposed in this basis in the following manner.

$$\vec{\psi} = \phi_0 \partial_t \vec{X} + \phi_r \vec{r} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \phi^i \vec{n}^i\tag{4}$$

With the help of the supersymmetry transformations (2) we can immediately get the transformation rules for the components ϕ^i . From (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta \vec{\psi} &= \delta \phi_0 \partial_t \vec{X} + \phi_0 \delta \partial_t \vec{X} + \delta \phi_r \vec{r} + \phi_r \partial_t \vec{X} + \delta \phi^i \vec{n}^i + \phi^i \delta \vec{n}^i \\ &= (\delta \phi_0 + \dots) \partial_t \vec{X} + (\delta \phi_r + \dots) \vec{r} + (\delta \phi^i + \dots) \vec{n}^i\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

where dots denote field dependent terms. Comparison with (2) plus linear independence of the basis vectors yields

$$\delta \phi_0 = \alpha + \text{"field dependent term"}.\tag{6}$$

The above equation means that the field ϕ_0 can be completely gauged away. The Fadeev-Popov determinant is trivial in this case.

Now we go to the discussion of the gravitino term. In the new basis it has the following form:

$$\frac{\chi}{e^2} \vec{\psi} \partial_t \vec{X} = \frac{\chi}{e^2} (\phi_0 \partial_t \vec{X}^2 + \phi_r (\vec{r} \partial_t \vec{X}))\tag{7}$$

After the gauge fixing $\phi_0 = 0$, this term reduces to $\chi\phi_r\partial_t\vec{X}\vec{r}/e^2$. After redefinition of the gravitino $\chi \rightarrow e^2\chi/(\partial_t\vec{X}\vec{r})$ we simply get $\chi\phi_r$. The crucial point here is that $\partial_t\vec{X}\vec{r}\neq 0$. The functional integration over the gravitino introduces functional Dirac delta function $\delta(\phi_r)$ under the path integral, which effectively puts $\phi_r = 0$ everywhere. In this way the kinetic term of the fermionic part of the path integral takes the form:

$$\vec{\psi}\partial_t\vec{\psi} = \phi^i\partial_t\phi^i + C^{ij}\phi^i\phi^j \quad (8)$$

where sum over $i, j = 1, \dots, d-2$ is understood and $C^{ij} = \vec{n}^i\partial_t\vec{n}^j$.

Before we do the functional integral over the fermions ϕ we have to change the functional measure: $D\vec{\psi} = D\phi J$. The jacobian (J) of the transformation is $\det^{-1/2}(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_t + C^{\mu\nu})$, where $g^{\mu\nu} = \vec{n}^\mu\vec{n}^\nu$ is the metric in the new coordinate system, $C^{\mu\nu} = \vec{n}^\mu\partial_t\vec{n}^\nu$ and now $\vec{n}^\mu$ denotes all the basis vectors (3), $\mu = 1 \dots d$. The jacobian is trivial because $C^{\mu\nu}$ is a pure gauge field.

Now we can perform functional integral over ϕ^i , $i = 1, \dots, d-2$. We consider a closed path with ϕ 's respecting antiperiodic boundary conditions (AP).

$$\int_{AP} D\phi^i e^{1/2 \int (\phi^i\partial_t\phi^i + C^{ij}\phi^i\phi^j)} = Tr \left\{ T \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} \int C^{ij}[\gamma^i, \gamma^j] \right) \right\} \quad (9)$$

where T denotes the path ordered product and γ 's are Dirac matrices. We also redefined $\phi^i \rightarrow e\phi^i$. This expression represents so-called spin factor of the path integral for the massless particle with spin 1/2. The spin factor is the trace over the $SO(d-2)$ group element, which is known to be relevant for the classification of massless spin states.

From now on we shall consider only the 4d space-time. In the case $d=4$ we can take the following Dirac matrices of interest: $\gamma^1 = 1 \otimes \sigma^1$, $\gamma^2 = 1 \otimes \sigma^2$, so $[\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = 2i\epsilon^{ij}1 \otimes \sigma^3$. The spin factor is now³:

$$Tr \left\{ \exp \left(-\frac{i}{4} \int C^{ij}\epsilon^{ij} 1 \otimes \sigma^3 \right) \right\} \quad (10)$$

We suppress the path ordering T because the spin factor (10) is abelian. It is the 4×4 matrix which elements equals $\exp\{\pm i/4 \int \vec{n}^i\partial_t\vec{n}^j\epsilon^{ij}\}$. The integral in the exponential factor measures the rotation angle (twist) of the frame $\{\vec{n}^1, \vec{n}^2\}$. In the case of a massive particle the factor under the trace of (10) belongs to a non-abelian group, which for the 4d spacetime is $SO(3)$ [2].

Now we want to show that the above spin factor can be represented as a surface functional integral. It is known that for 2d surfaces immersed in the 4d space there

³The sign ambiguity of the spin factor [2] is resolved when we consider 2d surfaces for which the given closed path will be a boundary

exists a topological invariant counting self-intersections of the given surface. The invariant is given by the formula [5,6]

$$I = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M d^2\xi \sqrt{g} g^{ab} \partial_a t_{\mu\nu} \partial_b \tilde{t}^{\mu\nu} \quad (11)$$

where $\vec{X} = \vec{X}(\xi^a)$ defines the immersion, g_{ab} is 2d induced metric on the surface M , $g_{ab} = \partial_a \vec{X} \partial_b \vec{X}$ and $t_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{ab} \partial_a \vec{X} \partial_b \vec{X} / \sqrt{g}$. The formula for the self-intersection number I can be put into different, more suitable for our reasoning, form. We introduce gauge fields of the vectors normal to the surface $\vec{N}^i$, ($i=1,2$), defined by

$$A_a^{ij}(\xi) = \vec{N}^i(\xi) \partial_a \vec{N}^j(\xi). \quad (12)$$

We also define their field strength tensor: $F_{ab}^{ij} = \partial_a \vec{N}^i \partial_b \vec{N}^j - \partial_b \vec{N}^i \partial_a \vec{N}^j$. Geometrically, F_{ab}^{ij} define an element of the second cohomology class $H^2(M)$. Now the intersection number is

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M d^2\xi \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{ij} F_{ab}^{ij} \quad (13)$$

If the surface M has a boundary ∂M , thus $H^2(M) = 0$, the expression for I simplifies to

$$I = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial M} dt \epsilon^{ij} \vec{N}^i \partial_t \vec{N}^j \quad (14)$$

and now I is no more a topological invariant. Thus, I is just the sum over the twists of the normals of all the boundaries. In the work [5] Polyakov proposed to add to the rigid string action an θ -term which is $2i\theta I$, i.e. he proposed to consider

$$\int D\vec{X} e^{-S[X]} e^{2i\theta I} \quad (15)$$

From what we said it is clear that for the open strings (i.e. surfaces with boundary) at $\theta = \pi$ quantity $\exp\{2\pi i I\}$ can be identified with the spin factor of the boundary (10), after identification of C^{ij} with $A_a^{ij} \xi^a$. In this way we arrive at the interesting interpretation of the self-intersection number: if the surface is bosonic the $2i\theta I$ term at $\theta = \pi$ makes its boundary fermionic in the 4d sense. This suggests that for $\theta = \pi$ θ -term can simulate quarks at the ends of the QCD string. At $\theta = 0$ the boundary term does not give any contribution i.e. it stays to be bosonic.

All what was said till now can be summarized by the formula

$$\int D\vec{X} e^{-S[X]} Tr \left\{ \exp \left(-\frac{i\theta}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M} dt A_a^{ij} \partial_t \xi^a(t) \epsilon^{ij} \right) \right\} \quad (16)$$

where the trace under the functional integral is interpreted as the spin factor of a massless particle (quark, if $\theta = \pi$) living on the boundary ∂M of the surface M . The

functions X^μ define immersion of the surface M into 4d euclidean space. The field $A_a^{ij}(t)$ is a natural gauge field (of normals) which appear on the string world-sheet M .

Now we introduce new anticommuting variables on the boundary of the string. We denote them by Ψ^a , $a=1,2$. We also introduce a new interaction of the fermionic path discussed above with the string world-sheet. The proposed modification arises naturally as an interaction of Ψ 's with the external gauge field (here it is the gauge field of normals A_a^{ij}) if one treats them as superpartners of 2d coordinates ξ^a . In this way we get 1d locally supersymmetric action for the interaction with A_a^{ij} [8]. Thus the action for the boundary is

$$S_{\partial M} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dt \left[\frac{1}{e} g_{ab} \Psi^a \partial_t \Psi^b + \frac{i\theta}{2\pi} \epsilon^{ij} \left(A_a^{ij} \partial_t \xi^a + \frac{1}{2} F_{ab}^{ij} \Psi^a \Psi^b \right) \right] \quad (17)$$

where e is 1d metric of the boundary ∂M . The action is 1d reparametrization invariant so we can fix the symmetry setting $e = L$ (L is the moduli parameter of the boundary).

In a string theory with the boundary contribution (17) one can find out the chiral anomaly. We shall consider M with single boundary i.e. ∂M is connected manifold. The anomaly is given by the trace of the γ_5 with evolution operator in the limit $L \rightarrow 0$ [10]. In the first quantized language it corresponds to evaluation of the functional integral with 1d fermions respecting periodic (P) boundary conditions in the limit $L \rightarrow 0$.

We shall not do the bosonic part of the the integral concentrating on the fermionic contribution. The anomaly comes from the zero modes Ψ_0^a (i.e. constant fields) of Ψ^a which appear for the periodic (P) boundary conditions. The expression for the anomaly reads:

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow 0} Tr \left[\int d\Psi_0^1 d\Psi_0^2 \int_0^1 dt \frac{i\theta}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ij} F_{ab}^{ij}(\xi_0) \Psi_0^a \Psi_0^b \right] \int D\Psi' e^{-S'_{\partial M}} \quad (18)$$

where "prime" denotes omission of the zero modes, ξ_0 is the position of the loop in the limit $L \rightarrow 0$. The only nontrivial contribution from $S'_{\partial M}$ which survive this limit is the topological term corresponding to the spin factor. Finally we get that the anomaly is proportional to

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} \frac{N_f}{N_c} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{ab} F_{ab}^{ij} \exp \left\{ -\frac{i\theta}{8\pi} \int_M d^2 \xi F_{ab}^{ij} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{ab} \right\} \quad (19)$$

where $\partial M = 0$. In the above formula the factor N_f is the number of different fermion species of Ψ 's ⁴. The factor $1/N_c$ was introduced by hand due to the arguments from

⁴One can discuss many-flavour case introducing another type of anticommuting variables [10,11] or considering matrix valued action for the boundary.

large N_c expansion which says that amplitudes with fermion loops are suppressed by $1/N_c$ [12].

Chiral anomaly gives change of the functional integral under infinitesimal (chiral) change of the phases of the spacetime fermion fields. We see that this is equivalent to the change of the θ in eq.(15) (but with $\partial M = 0$).

$$\theta \rightarrow \theta(1 + \text{const.} \frac{N_f}{N_c}) \quad (20)$$

For $\theta = 0$ the anomaly vanishes. This suggests that in this case we deal with a bosonic boundary. The formula (20) differs from the standard QCD formula where we have $\theta_{QCD} \rightarrow \theta_{QCD} + \text{const.} N_f/N_c$. This result holds for θ_{QCD} close to zero, which we want to identify with θ close to π . In this region one can take $\theta = \pi - \theta_{QCD}$ and now both formulas agrees for small enough θ_{QCD} .

The same result can be obtained if one does not integrate over ϕ 's in (9). In this case the action is⁵

$$S_{\partial M} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dt \left[(g_{ab} \Psi^a \partial_t \Psi^b + \phi^i \partial_t \phi^i) - \frac{\theta}{\pi} \phi^i \phi^j \left(A_a^{ij} \partial_t \xi^a + \frac{e}{2} F_{ab}^{ij} \Psi^a \Psi^b \right) \right] \quad (21)$$

In order to calculate the anomaly the fields ϕ^i should also obey periodic boundary conditions (P). This procedure emphasize the 4d origin of the anomaly with zero modes of ϕ 's and Ψ 's playing the role of γ_5 .

We conclude the paper with several remarks and speculations concerning application of the results to the QCD string. First of all, it seems that the QCD string may correspond to the rigid string with the θ -term at $\theta = \pi$. This is good news because there are some arguments which say that one needs such a term in order to assure that the rigidity is relevant for low energy vibrations of the string [5]. One might speculate that rigid string at $\theta = 0$ may describe the pure QCD or QCD coupled to scalar bosons. In this paper we also add new anticommuting variables. After coupling to the gauge field of normals they reproduce expression for the chiral anomaly with the self-intersection number playing the role of topological charge $F\tilde{F}$ of the QCD.

Acknowledgement

I want to thank my colleagues R.Budzyński, Z.Lalak and M.Spaliński for their kind interest in this work.

⁵The fields Ψ^a were multiplied here by $\sqrt{e}$

References

1. M.Henneaux and C.Teitelboim, *in* Quantum Mechanics of Fundamental Systems 2, eds. C.Teitelboim, J.Zanelli, Plenum Press, New York,1988.
2. J.Grundberg, T.H.Hansson and A.Karlhede, *Nucl. Phys.* **B347** (1990) 420.
3. M.A.Nowak, M.Rho and I.Zahed, *Phys. Lett.* **254B** (1991) 94; T.Jaroszewicz and P.Kurzepa, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **210** (1991) 255; I.A.Korchemskaya and G.P.Korchemsky, *Phys. Lett.* **257B** (1991) 125.
4. A.M.Polyakov, Gauge Fields and Strings, Harwood (1987); A.M.Polyakov, *in* Fields, Strings and Critical Phenomena, Proc.Les Houches Summer School, Vol. II, 1988, ed. E.Brezin and J.Zinn-Justin, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
5. A.M.Polyakov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B268** (1986) 406.
6. P.O.Mazur and V.P.Nair, *Nucl. Phys. B* **284** (1986) 146.
7. A.P.Balachandran, F.Lizzi and G.Sparano, *Nucl. Phys.* **B263** (1986) 608.
8. A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, *Nuova Cimento* **A35** 91976) 377; L.Brink, S.Deser, B.Zumino, P.Di Vecchia and P.Howe, *Phys. Lett.* **64B** (1976) 435; P.A.Collins and R.W.Tucker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B121** (1977) 307; F.A.Berezin and M.S.Marinov, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **104** (1977) 307.
9. J.Łopuszański, Rachunek spinorów, PWN, Warsaw, 1985.
10. L.Alvarez-Gaume and E.Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B234** (1984) 269.
11. N.Marcus and A.Sagnotti, *Phys. Lett.* **188B** (1987) 58.
12. G.'t Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B72** (1974) 461; *Nucl. Phys.* **B75** (1974) 461.